G. R. S. 1X-5]

Previous references.

File No. 83-13

1923.

(1700)

Serial Nos. 1-10

Later references.

Mo. 183-32-1-6
(1) 2230. 9-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for May 22

SUBJECT.

Complaint petn re the property belonging to Sri Amaneshwara Swamy Temple at Bhimankatte Sirsi Taluk has been misappropriated by the Madhwa Math

DC Shimoga, Compt^r, Duan Supt.
6521-3 Dt 19. May 22

Accepts the D.C's finding re the —

C.L.M.

WD 1898—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

2·7

S. 11] 1913.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*muzrai*)

NOTES.

File No. 883-13

FILE HEADING.

Complaint of Subbarayabhatta, archak Of the Umamaheswara devaru temple at Bheimanakatte in the Hirthahalli Taluk.

From Kadaffi Bhatta, alias Subba Bhatta, of Bheimanakatte in the HirthalliTa

No. Petn. Dated 16.6.13 Received

Diary No. 2279	Enclos –	Spare Copies. –	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the Inam lands endowed to the temple have been alienated and the services in the temple have been stopped & prays for an enquiry into the matter.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petitioner was informed in No. 2241 mur.7 06-12-7 Date 28.5.1913 that his petition on the same subject had been forwarded to the Dy. Comr. Shimoga for disposal. Draft forwarding the petition to the Dy. Comr for report.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB – 35 000 1.6.12

2341 (2)

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 83 of 1913

Serial No.	
	report with records, subd. for approval or other orders.
	B.D. 20.8.13
	Approved 26/8/13
2	To the Dy. Comr. Shimoga &c: No 740 of 29.8.13 forwards for report with records.
	No 741 of 29.8.13 informs the petitioner of the above.
	Petition (no. 234) from Subraya Bhatta addressed to the Dewan Complaining of the alleged mis-appropriation by Immidivunda Matt of certain properties endowed to Amaneshwara temple at Bhimana Katte in the Tirthahalli Taluk.
	Submd. with a Dft. forwarding the petition in Contn.
	MM 115 12-8-1914
	Approved 13.8
(3)	From, the Dy. Commr., Shimoga Dist., Letter no 362 Dy 16.3.1916.
	Submits further report called for in Serial 2.
	The Dy Commrs. letter under adverence explains the facts of the case.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

(3

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 83 of 1913

Serial No.

It will be seen from the records that Bhimanakatte matha, as manager of Sri Umamaheswara Devasthanam at Bhimanakatte initiated proceedings ~~in the matter~~ with regard to temple lands, the first petition being from the matha Agent to the Taluk office in March 1910.

D

J & K 28

The matha complain that inam S. no 57 of Kodasagodu village and 5 Bijavari khands of jari inam situated in Mulbagal Agrahara Sub-khate have been alienated from the temple and pray that these lands might be restored for the benefit of the institution. They also pray that Khabab S. no 70 measuring 11a-24g and assessed at Rs 41. which originally formed part of inam no 57 may be converted into inam and restored for the benefit of the temple. The matha seem to rely on certain documents in support of their allegations.

The Dy. Commr reports that the Temple of Sri Umamaheswara Devasthanam and its endowments were transferred to the Swamis of Sri Ramanuja

NOTE—Contn sheet

(PP)—7000)—17.12.15

by a deed of Gift, and that the management of these properties is in the hands of the matha since 1832 - The Dy. Comm^r recommends for reasons assigned in paras 6 and 7 of his letter under reply, that inam S. no. 54 may be resumed and handed back to the mangers of the Temple Viz Bhimanakatte matha.

As regards the complaint petition of Kadam Bhatta, that the Temple properties have been alienated to the matha, the Dy. Comm^r reports in para 8 of his letter that the management of the Temple is properly vested in the matha and that the matha authorities have spent a large sum of money on improving the Temple and its premises. Besides, the petr is reported to be an alience of a major portion of inam no 54 (vide para 4), the resumption of which has been recommended by the Dy. Commissioner -

In paras 9 and 10 of his letter, the Dy. Commissioner reports that he will take further action in the

GPB—70,000—17 12 15

GPB—70,000—17 12 15

(5

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 83 of 1913

Serial No.

matter of the payment by the matha of the arrears of pay due to the Temple Archaka, and also the maintenance of regular accounts of the income by the matha prescribed in the Rules under the Muzrai Regulation.

A draft enquiry with regard to Khalsa no. 70 and another piece of Temple inam refd to, is herewith submitted for approval or other orders

After receipt of the Dy: Comrs reply in the matter, enquiries may, if necessary, be made of the Inam Supdt.

NW(RW) 16-8-16

89 17/8/16

Bring up. Is the khalsa land also under alienation. What is there in the record to corroborate the statement of the Swami

22-8-16

Put up fair draft.

23-8-16

NOTE—Contn. sheet

(GPB—70 000—17 12 1)

155 C 6

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Huz File No. 83 of 1913.

Serial No.

Submd. with a fair draft.

W (RW)
24-8-16

80/24/8

A draft letter to the D.C. concerned is submitted for approval or other orders. The draft touches upon certain matters of principle

1. The correction of a manager of a temple (a Mayorai institution) to sue in a civil court for ejectment of a lessee in possession

2. The propriety of the manager using the temples for his own purposes - The temple is not inseparable from the matter which is a Madura institution while the former is apparently Saivite.

The enquiry now suggested maybe made and the matter taken up for orders after the information is complete.

2004
24.8.16

Dy Secretary)

Ag
24.8.16

Ag
24.8.16

Ag
24.8.16

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—70 000 17 12 15
GPB-70 000-17 12 15

W64 7.1 (7

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 83 of 1913

Serial No.	
4	No. 631 dt 2.9.16, fr Dy Comrs of Chengalpattu, calls for further report on the alienation of certain inam lands endowed to the deity in question.
	(No 1064) Petition from the Agent of Sri Munivarada Mutt, at Bhimanakattee praying for the restoration of certain inam lands alienated from Sri Mahaleswara Swami Temple at Bhimanakattee
	Submd. with a Dft. forwarding the petition in cntn of sl 4 for reptn.
	M(RL) 16.2.17
Rem^r No 2133 dt 26.2.17	17.2.17
	Appd 21.2.17
5	Rem^r petition (no 215) from the Agent of Sri Munivarada Mutt praying for early orders in the matter.
	The Dy Comrs. reptt has not as yet been received
	Submd. with a Dft for appl
	M 5.12.17
	Approved. 5/12/17
6	No. 1319 dt 8.12.17, to D, Chengalpattu, forwarding for orders, the petition of the Agent of Sri Munivarada Mutt, Bhimanakattee, praying for restoration of certain inam lands alienated from the Sri Mahaleswara Swami Temple at Bhimanakattee in Sriperumbudur taluk.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

(PB 70 000 17 12 1)

253

[II-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Inam File No. 83 of 1913

Serial No.	
7.	From, the Dy Commr, Shimoga Dist, Letter no. 1... dt 21-8-19. Reports that 5 Bijavari Khandi of Uttara Temple in Mulbagal Agrahara Sub-Khata now included in S.no 88 may be separated and restored and handed over to the Temple, that it cannot be considered that the khata of S.no.70 has been wrongly registered in the name of Sheka Venkatasubbia Bhatta, that regular accounts of income and expenditure have been maintained by the Math, and that he will take necessary action in the matter of fixing the scale. The Dy. Commrs. report is filed in the 1st Inam cover noted in this Office. Letter no. 631 dt 2-9-1916. (81-C) Enclosed with a DR.

8/9/19

8/9/19

Mr. Jagadamba Iyer Supdt. Offg
for putting up the file
duly by the Asstt. concerned

8/9/19

Routine note put up

10/9/19

Note—Contd. Sheet.

There had been an old file about this matter
Please put up for reference

8/9/19

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 13—S.

S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 83 of 1913.

Serial No.

Mug. 2 nos. 178-1895 and 359-1907 regarding Bhimanakatte matt are submd.

M 16.9.19

The present report of the Dy Comr varies widely from his previous report No 364 of 16th March 1916

Of two Sy.Nos 57/1 & 57/2, the former is said to be Temple Inam.

Sy.No. 57/2 has been become Sy.No. 70 & is private property.

The Dy Comr does not ~~say how Sy.No 57/1 is now occupied &.~~

Say anything about Sy.No 57/1.

It is perhaps that this field that has been referred to in the Report of 1916 &c.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(10

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 83 of 191 2.

Serial No.

having been given over by Venkatramma Chetta & Kadiam Chetta (Vendeparty) & it was with ~~this~~ reference to this land that the Dy Sr was asked to say the matter did not be asked to take steps for the eviction of the lessee —.

The Dy's report is silent — & he may be asked to report definitely on that point —.

The Dy Sr is also silent about the matter being asked to keep regular accounts (para 3 of this office letter of 2nd Sept '16) ~~His~~ He may be asked to report on that point too —.

In this connection I may submit that the suggestion to ask the matter to take steps in a Civil Court ~~against~~ for

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 18—S

Serial No.

eviction of the lessee of the Devadaya Manu of the temple may be re-considered —.

If pressed, it will be construed to mean that the lessee of a Devadaya Temple land can be evicted any one proceedings instituted in a Civil Court — ~~If so in this case, it will~~

The point in the revised Scheme, have leased out all Temple Manu lands — & are they to go to Civil Courts against defaulting lessees? The point is one not free from technical difficulties — much can be said on either side —

~~For~~ For the present, the point raised in this office letter No. 2 of 2nd Sept 16 may be tacitly dropped — ~~& the lessee~~ &

The lessee may be —

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Land Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 253 of 1913.

Serial No.

expanded as an alienation under port order no. 239 of 10. Aug 1898 (printed Copy put up). & duly taken under the Notn of 17th Nov 1877.

Notes) AMT 18/11/13

Draft may be put up for further information on the points noted at page X. 10.

hz 19.10.13

AMT 20/10/13

Submit with a DO. enquiry

M 21.10.13. BA 21.10.13

Please put up clean draft for Mr Bowen

AMT 23/10/13

Clean DO - Submit -

M 23-10-13 BA 23/10/13 AMT 25/10

Draft up with Bowen for AMT 28/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

13

Jmz File No. 83 of 1913

Serial No.	
8.	To Dy Commr. Shimoga No 1375 dt. 31-10-1919. Requests further information with regard to the steps to be taken for the eviction of the lessee of S No. 57 & the Swami of the matt being required to maintain accounts
9.	From, the Dy. Comr, Bangalore Dis-tt, Letter no. 624 dt 21.5.22 Submits further report called for in Sl. 82 In the matter of taking civil proceedings for the resumption of inam S. no. 57 alienated from Sri Ramanatha swami temple at Bhimanakatte, the temple manager Bhimanakatte matt having represented that on a previous occasion, their Suit for the recovery of certain fruit amounts from the said land was thrown out by civil Courts on the ground that the civil Courts had no jurisdiction in the matter and that a fresh

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 83 of 1913

Serial No.

hill nor have any better, the Dy. Comr. recommends action under the Ch. Comrs. Notification of 1877.

The Dy. Comr further reports that the usual enquiries have been made regarding regular succession.

The points for orders in this case are:—

(1) Inam S. no. 5½ — the Dy. Comrs. recommend resumption under Ch. Comrs. Notification of 1869 and restoration to the Manager on behalf of the temple,

Yes. Draft accordingly Sm 20/5/13

(2) 5 Bijavari Khandis of temple inam in Mulbagal Dharwar Sub-Khata now included in S. no. 188 } the Dy. Comr recommends that the land separated may be resumed and handed over to the temple.

This 5 Khandi Bijavari of land does not belong to the temple, as reported by the D.C. The other 7½ Khandi merged with this in one and the same S. No. ~~to the~~ belongs to the temple as evidenced by the Inam Settlement records,

By resumption & transfer resumed & handed over to the Instt. Also proposed. Draft accdg Sm 20/5/13

(3) Restoration of Khalsa no. 70 } the Dy. Comr thinks that

NOTE—Contn sheet.

4034

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 83 of 1913

Serial No.

Khatas Nos it cannot be considered to that the Khata of this No. has been wrongly registered in the name of Bachaha Venkatasubba Bhatta.

This is not Devadaya & there is no reason to resume it Bm 28/4/22

Maintenance & Regular accounts by the Mutt } the Dy. Comm. recommends that the Mutt authorities are keeping regular accounts.

no further action necessary Bm 28/4/22

Subject to The Dy Comm. recommendations may be passed under the circumstances reported by him.

BL 17.4.22

Dept as per 1st original instructions Bm 28/4/22

Dept. of Revenue

BL 28/4/22

If no appl. a typed paimash will be sent. Subd. Bm 28/4/22

1 Mr Bm 28/4/22

Sanction is approved. (a typed Sanct is always kept in such cases) Rao S. C. 22

Sanction sd 5/5

6098-104

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. S. 22

S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 83 of 19 12

Serial No.	
10	No 6521-3 dt 14th May 1912 To, The D.C. Shimoga, Camp Balagi Govt accept the D.C.'s pro & and direct that a Melavichara man there also take charge of the Temple

NOTE—Contn sheet.

17

DIARY No. 2m.S.4

19/4/15
TION Shimoga
No. 8343

MEMO

On Petitions received by the Dewan.

No.— 3798

DATE OF PETITION— 29th July 1914.

FROM WHOM— Subbaraya Bhatta,
Son of Venkataramana Bhatta,
Archak of Umamaheswar Temple,
Bhumankatte, Tirthahalli

SUBJECT— Complains that the property belonging to the above temple has been misappropriated by the Madhwa Mutt people in the village. Prays for redress

Represents that the Huzur Under Secretary referred the previous petition to the Dy Commr of Shimoga last year but no final orders have been passed yet.

1.8.14

To

The Secretary to Government,
Genl. & Rev. Departments.

GBPM—21 6 11 —300

18

No 740 — Eng and 5 Court fee stamps
Mrs 83-13-2 — of 0-8-0 each

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE. Dt 29-8-1913

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.....

The accompanying Petition Registered
No. 2279 dated 16:6:13
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from Kadaru Bhatta,
alias Subba Bhatta,
Archak of the Umamahes-
wara devaru temple at
Bheemanakatte, stating
the valivat of the Inam
lands was transferred to
him in May 1912, that
some of the Inam lands
have been alienated ~~into~~
to the "Muni Vrinda Madhva
Matt at the place &
praying for enquiry into
the matter

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~,
to the Dy. Comm. Sheimoga Dt.
.....for reports
with records

W Comm Shim Mis
Dm No 2240
Dt 106-12-7
Dt 26 May 1913

By Order
Dy
for Secretary
RL
26/8/13

BANGALORE,
17-8-1913

P T O

GPB-1100 1-1

Dft 27/8/13.

ನಂ 741 ದಿ 29. 8. 913

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್.....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

.....ದಿನ

.....ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ.....ದಿ.....

ಮುಮ್ಮುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು.....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

For Secretary.

GPB—50,000—17-9-15

DIARY No. 1558

19 15516
9mw2
82-13
3

From VII 19

To

Dated

Despatched 16 Dec

Received

General No. 362

No. May 66

Enclosure No. 1 Pencil copy of letter from V.P.

20

362

Office of the Deputy

Shimoga District

Dated Chimoga 12th March 1918

From

V. Vatthar, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Chimoga District.

To

V.R. Bhaskaraja Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Revenue Department (Muzrai)

Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your office memorandum No.740 Muz.83-13-8 dated 20th August 1913 forwarding for report petition from Madam Bhatta alias Subha Bhadrita, Archak of the Umamaheswara Devaru temple at Bhimanakatte complaining of the misappropriation by the Sunivrinda Matha, of certain properties enjoyed to the said temple at Bhimanalatte in the Tirthahalli taluk I have the honor to forward herewith the records connected with the case ending with the Tirthahalli Amildar's report No.451 dated 6th October 1915.

2. The following facts appear from the record.

In the year 1735, Basavappa Naik of the Keladi family granted inam lands to the extent of one hundred and fifty three varahas and three and a half hanas (153-3½) in favor of Nigale Nagappa for the support of Purvanaravanapur Agrahar founded by him on the bank of the river Tunga near Bhimanakatte and for the up-keep and maintenance of 'Amritapadi and Mandadipa in the temple of Umamaheswara Devaru established by him in the said Agrahar and confirmed the same by issuing a 'Sannad' (vide copy of Sannad Serial No.37). These endowments were in the possession and enjoyment of the grantee's family up to 1832.

(1) For Agrahar	Lands to the extent of 108 varahas.	
(2) Do temple	do 45 varahas and 3½ Hanas	
Total	153 varahas and 3½ Hanas.	

3. On 18th December 1832 Nigale Subbarava the great grandson of the original grantee Nagappa seeing the dilapidated condition of the Agrahar and temple and being unable to bring them to their former state,

21

transferred all the endowments to the Swami of the Sunivrindamatt by a deed of gift.

4. Since that time the matt has been in the possession and enjoyment of the above inam lands. At the time of the Inam Settlements in 1875 inam lands with a beriz of K C P 46-4-0 assessed at Rs 145-8-8 were confirmed in the name of the temple in question and a title deed No. 444 was issued accordingly, the annual quitrent payable thereon being Rs 102-8-0 (vide Perist No.1-2).

5. According to the Register of Puzrai institutions of 1901, the temple has been endowed with the marginally noted inam lands

Asale Mokkodu
(Talhole Modasamolli village).

S.No.	Class.	Extent.	Assessment.
57	Dry Wet	0-8 15-5	- 46-0-0.
58 part	Garden	1-38	35-8-0.
59	Dry. Garden	0-31 3-13	- 67-0-0.
60	Dry Wet	4-23 11-13	1-0-0. 99-0-0.
Total		37-11	Rs 178-8-0

assessed at Rs 178-8-0, the jodi amount payable thereon being Rs 180-14-0. From the statements of the several sowcavalidars the rent actually derived from these lands is 41 Kandies of paddy and 20 maunds of supari besides Rs 100 in cash. The value of the paddy and supari may be taken at Rs 440/- (vide Perisas Nos.3 and 17). The management of the temple with its endowments is in the hands of the Sunivrindamatha since 1929.

6. It is ascertained that the matha originally appointed one Venkatasubba Bhatta as Archak of the temple and left S. No.57 in his possession for defraying the expenses of services rendered in the temple. He continued to do so till 1880-81 when he left seems to have left the place for Kolavalli where he is residing since then. The Matha afterwards appointed one Subbarama Bhatta, the petitioner, who, as reclaimed annual payment of 10 candies of paddy and Rs 100/- in cash. The Matha however allowed the land S. No.57 to remain in the possession of Venkatasubba Bhatta or his descendants on condition of rendering services viz Vasantotsavam and Sapparada in the temple and of the

22-

paying the [illegible] a annual [illegible] of [illegible] 10/-. He continued to [illegible] till very recently, but stopped payment of the migate about [illegible] ago. The [illegible] is reported to have instituted a civil suit for the recovery of this amount.

7. Out of the [illegible] in the [illegible] of the deity, this Venkata [illegible] has received for his self [illegible] of land which he is said have converted into garden and transferred the remaining portion to the petitioner [illegible] of a registered deed on [illegible] 1919 [illegible] condition of the latter performing the services in the temple. But in this, there is no mention of the migate of [illegible] 10/- due to [illegible]. This is how the petitioner has come to have anything to do with the [illegible]. Venkatasubba Bhatta had had no right to transfer [illegible] land [illegible]. The [illegible] amounts to an [illegible] under Notification [illegible] dated [illegible] November [illegible] and is [illegible] declared invalid. [illegible]

[illegible] Venkatasubba Bhatta [illegible] to the [illegible] temple, [illegible]

8. [illegible] have been [illegible] of the temple [illegible] only [illegible] temple [illegible] have [illegible]

9. [illegible]

[illegible] be [illegible]

23

10. It is seen [illegible] has not been maintaining proper accounts of the income and expenditure of the temple. Immovable and valuable articles and surplus proceeds for purposes of the temple. This is [illegible] the Atha Uthirjithas will (or their Boards being formed) be required to maintain the accounts properly [illegible] under section 9 of the Nuzraipalliem.

Thanking you,

Yours,

Yours obedient servant,

[illegible]

24

G.R.S. 26]

SUBJECT Calls for further report as the alienation of certain inam lands from the Temple of Sri Ramanaheswara Devaru at Bhimanakatte Tirthahalli Taluk.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1558.

Section Muz.
File No. 83
Serial No. 1913/4

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

No 631 Emp/le

Date of issue 2. 9. 1916.

To The Dy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 362/Muz. 64 Dy 16th March 1916 recommending the restoration to Bhimanakatte matha, of inam S. no. 57 alienated from Sri Ramanaheswara Devaru temple at Bhimanakatte in T.-s Tirthahalli Taluk [illegible]

(2).

directed to enquire whether there is any reason why the head of the Ramanuja matha acting on behalf of the Temple may not himself proceed to eject the alienee in question by recourse to a court of competent jurisdiction. 2. I am to observe that the Temple is, according to the statement of the Swami of the matha, also entitled to 5 Bijavari Khandi of inam situated in Mulbagal Agrahar Sub-Khata which is reported to have been alienated to third parties, and that S.no. 70 measuring 11-24 a g and assessed at Rs 41/- which is khalsa excess in the Temple inam land has wrongly been

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—11 2 11

S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Registered in the name of archak Venkatasubba Bhatta. The records submitted do not throw any light upon this question which does not appear to have been enquired into. I am to request that you will be so good as to ~~submit~~ submit a consolidated report in the matter at an early date.

(2) It is noted that regular accounts have not been maintained by the Vahivatdar and that the surplus of the Temple income is being utilized for the purposes of the matha. I am to request that ~~the Dy. Commissioner~~ you may draw up a scale of expenditure for the Temple after consultation with the Swamijalu who may also be desired to maintain the accounts prescribed by the rules

GPB—10,000—22 2 11

V7

(3p) The Vernacular records
and two petitions nos. 767 and 273 addressed
to Court by the Swamijakal
of the matha, are herewith
returned for reference and
re-submission.

I have etc.

Asst
25-8-16

for Secretary

Fair
Sd 24/8
M/Alk

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

28

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Rev 2

File No. 88 13

Serial No. 6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the *191* .

No.

Date of issue 8.12.17

Signed by

To

The Dy Comm^r,

Batticaloa Dist^t

Sir,

In conty of this office letter no. 2133/Rev. 7. 83138 dy 26-2-17, I am directed to forward herewith for ~~perusal~~ and return petition regd no. 215 from the Agent of Sri Munivranda Nath at Bhuvanakatte, Vellavelli Taluk, praying for early orders in the matter of the restoration of certain

over.

29

mam lands alienated from
Sri Unamahemara Devani
Temple at Dhimanakatte
Cmo to request that the report
already called for on the subject
may be submitted without
further delay.

I have etc

Dy
5/12/17

Dy

Assistant Secretary
Revenue Department

5/12/17

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WDf6—GPB- 60,000—15 11 16

30

GEN. & REV. DEPT.
VII
MUTT
24 JAN 1917
DIARY No. 1064
1916-17

(352)

Free

30-12-16

Br VII

3/1/17

Circular memo

Recd

ఫారం నెం. 7 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	[illegible]	ఎస్.ఎం.	57	కర	0-7	0-8-0	[illegible]
			ఇ.	మాగా	0-3	0-0-0	[illegible]
			ఇ.	చాలు	1-38	35-8-0	
2	[illegible]	ఎస్.ఎం.	70	కర	11-17	41-0-0	[illegible]
			ఇ.	మాగా	0-7	0-0-0	[illegible]

3 [illegible]

[illegible] 12-1916 [illegible]

...E MU... ER _ E
Date ... 1917
...OFFICE BANGALORE.

ಎಂಟು ಆಣೆ EIGHT ANNAS

[illegible] 215
[illegible] 1718

[illegible] 1661-7 8312 R
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1-8 1916 [illegible]
[illegible] 18-7-1916 [illegible]
Refer [illegible] 6-7 [illegible]
No [illegible]
25/7/17 [illegible] 25-12-1916 [illegible]
Branch [illegible] 2134/83-17 [illegible]
[illegible]
Office [illegible]
No 2 [illegible]
28/7/17 [illegible]
J. R. Rao [illegible]

3 [illegible]
[illegible] (1) [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] (2) [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] (3) [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

ತಾ॥ 22-7 1917 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
1	[illegible]	[illegible]	57	[illegible]	0 - 7	0-8-0	[illegible]	[illegible]
			[illegible]	[illegible]	0 - 3	0-0-0		
			[illegible]	[illegible]	1-38	35-8-0		
2	[illegible]	[illegible]	70	[illegible]	11-17	41-0-0	[illegible]	[illegible]
			[illegible]	[illegible]	0 - 7	0-0-0		
3	[illegible]	[illegible]					[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible] 22-7-1917 [illegible]

[illegible]

GPB—100,000—29 7 14

5722

1919 - 20.

1919 - 20
P (C) 2
FILE 83-13
BI 7

From

T. E. Jayarama Ayer Esq B.A.L.
Deputy Commissioner,
Kurunegala District

To

B. Ramanathan Esq C.C.S.
Secretary to Government,
Mineral department
Bangalore

Dated
Despatched } 21st March
Received

General No. 4C. 424 (Rev) 1916-17
No.

Enclosure No. 2 and one file.

Submits further information re- the retrocession of the Crown lands of Sri Gunawardhana Devaya at Bhaisandalai,

Office of the Deputy

Shimoga District

Dated. Shimoga, the 21st August 1919.

No: H.C. 424 (Rev) 1916-17.

From

T. E. Jayarama Iyer Esq. B.A. B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

To

B. Ramachandraiah Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government,

Revenue department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no: 631 dated the 2nd September 1916 calling for further information re- the restoration of the Inam lands of Sri Ramanakeswara Devaru temple at Bhimanakatte, Tirthahalli Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:-

As regards the 5 khandi Bavari

Inam land situated in Mulabagal Agrahar, it is reported that Survey No: 188 measuring 9 acres 36 guntas in extent is in the enjoyment of one Kulavalli Srinivasa Bhatta who states that he obtained one-half of the land as a partition of his ancestral property and the other half by purchase from his uncle Venkatasubba Bhatta and that the land has been in the enjoyment of his family from a very long time — about 300 years — and that the chitta, Inam Settlement records and the Inam Register show that only 7½ Khandi Bijavari land has been shown in the name of the temple and the remaining 5 Khandi Bijavari land in the name of the Vahivatdar Venkatasubba Bhatta and that as the land belonging to the temple could not be distinguished, the same was included in the Brahmadaya land during the

Last settlement and is enjoyed by the Brahmadaya Inamdars.

For the aforesaid reasons the S Khandi Bijavari land does not seem to belong to the temple. The Amildar further reports that the portion of the devadaya land should be separated, resumed and handed over to the temple. I agree with the Amildar and necessary instructions will issue after the receipt of Government orders in the matter.

With regard to S. No: 70 of the Kukkodu Village, the Amildar states that it is ascertained from the Phut Pahani Accounts that this land and S. No: 57 of the village bore maji Nos: 19 and 20 and that the khata stood in the name of one Ganeshabhatta, Sri Gumanathanna Devara Varjodar, that the area was 2 khandi Bijavari

as per Vasul Baki Account, and that
nt of this area, an extent of 8 khandi
and one Kolaya Bijavari was shown in
the name of Sri Ramanatheswara Devaru
at Bhimanakatte and the remaining
portion as Sircari. This fact is borne out
by the Khetwar Accounts prepared after
the Survey Settlement in 1881 which show
these lands were numbered as S. Nos: 57/1
and 57/2 and that the former was Inam
granted to Sri Ramanatheswara Devaru
and the latter was Sircari standing in
the Khate of one Ganesha Bhatta bin
Manjabhatta. Subsequently the Sub Survey
no: 57/2 was treated S. No: 70 and the
Akharband corrected accordingly. The
Khate of this S. No: 70 was transferred
to the name of Venkatasubba Bhatta
from that of his brother Ganesha bhatta
the original Khatedar in 1898 by rajeshwari

It is also reported that these sub divisions were effected during the Survey settlement, when the Inam lands of the temple were treated as one sub number and the excess which was being shown as Sircari as another during the Inam Settlement, the Inam land was confirmed as such and the excess which had already been subdivided during the Survey Settlement was treated as Sircari (Khalsatt)

As 3 Khandris and 19 Kolagas Bijawari land (2 acres and 8 guntas in extent as per Survey) had been shown as Sircar land before the Survey Settlement and as the same has since been continued to be shown as such, it cannot be considered that the Khata of S. No: 70 has been wrongly registered in the name of the Archak Venkatasubba-bhatta.

The Aumidar states in his report that the matt has maintained regular accounts for the income and expenditure of this temple.

As regards the scale of expenditure a proposal submitted by the Special Muzrai Shersteder has since been received from the muzrai Superintendent with his opinion in the matter (Vide his memo: no: R.D. 830 dated 10th December 1918). Necessary action will be taken in the matter here in due course.

The applications of the agent of Sri Munieswara matt received with your letter nos: 2133/mmz 83-13 and 1319/mmz 8-13-6 dated 26th February 1917 and 8th December 1917 respectively are forwarded herewith.

The vernacular file enclosing with

45

Tirthahalli Taluk Amildars report
145 dated 12th August 1919 is enclosed
for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

for Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District

41

(32)

BJECT Calls for further information about the inam lands endowed to Sri Maneshwara Devasthana Temple at Bhimanakatte

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 393

Section Enquiries
File No. 83-18
Serial No.

NERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
)
, the 191

No. 1375 Enclosure bundle of records.

Date of issue 31.10.19

To The Dy. Commr. Shimoga Dist.

ned by Mrs. A. Murthyanarayana Iyer

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no 424 dy 21st August 1919 reporting on the resumption of the inam lands of Sri Maneshwara Devasthana Temple at Bhimanakatte, Nagarathi Taluq, I am directed to

over.

42

Observe that the report is silent on the two main points referred to in this office no. 631 dy 2nd Sept. 916 Viz (1) Steps for the eviction of the lessee of S no. 57/1 and (2) the Swami of the Matt being required to maintain accounts —

I am now to request that you will be so good as to report definitely on these matters very early.

The records are returned for ref. & re— Encl.

I have &c.

Secretary

Mrs. Dewan

25/10/16

Copied

Examined by

List of enclosures.

Received 25/10

DIARY No.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District, 83-13-9
Dated Shimoga 19/21st March 1922.

No.H.C.424/Rev.16-17.
Enc. One file.

From

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government, Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1375/Muz.83-13-8, dated the 31st October 1919, calling for a definite report on (1) the steps taken for the eviction of the lessee of S.No.57/1 which is a Devadaya Inam land, endowed to Sri Umamaheswara - Devaru at Bhimanakatte, Thirthahalli Taluk and (2) whether the Swami of the Bhimanakatte Matt maintains regular accounts etc., I have the honour to state that a reference was made to the Matt, asking them why they should not themselves proceed against the tenant in the Civil Court and they intimate that they are unable to do so, as on a former occasion, they filed a suit for the recovery of the lease amount, due on this identical land and this was thrown out on the plea that the Civil Courts had no jurisdiction. They urge that a suit for eviction will not fare any better and they accordingly pray for action being taken under Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 266, dated the 17th November 1877. Under the ~~above~~ circumstances, I would recommend action being taken under the above Notification and the land being taken possession of and restored to the temple, as suggested in this Office letter No.362, dated 12th March 1916.

S(3

44

As regards the maintenance of regular accounts of the income and expenditure of the institution, I beg to invite reference to this Office letter No.H.C.424/Rev.16-17, dated the 16th August 1919, wherein it has been reported that the Matt authorities have been maintaining regular accounts.

The correspondence, ending with Thirthahalli Taluk - Amildar's report No. 1612, dated the 20th June 1920, is enclosed for reference and obliging return.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

G.V.-2/

45

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT. In the matter of Passing orders directing the resumption of some inam lands alienated from Sri Umamaheswara Swami temple at Bhimanakatte, Nitthakki Taluk -]

Diary No. of letter replied to 4634

Section

File No. 88

Serial No. 1813

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

, *the* 191 .

Read—

Date of issue 19-3-24

Signed by Mr A L Rice

Petition Dt 16-6-13 from Archak Kada Bhatta of Sri Uma-maheswara Devasthana temple at Bhimanakatte in Nitthakki Taluk complaining that the income of the temple is not being satisfactorily accounted for by the Vakivatdar, Sri Muniswamy Math.

May this be

i Printed ?

treated as a standing order ?

published in the Gazette, English Part ?

translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon—No.

2 Petitions 46 nos 1664 and 215 from the Agent of Sri Munivranda Matt praying for the restoration to the Matt, of certain inam lands alienated from Sri Unamaheswara Temple.

3 Correspondence ending with letter no. H.C. 424 dy 21-3-1922 from the Dy. Comr, Shimoga to Dist., reporting on the Subject.

List of enclosures.

Order thereon. No 65213 Enc. called for vide 83-13-10. dy 19-5-22

The Temple of Sri Unamaheswara Devaru manageress of the and its endowments is vested in Sri Munivranda Matt, and the Manager

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744 [illegible] 50,000 17 · 1

The Swamis of the matt who is

Sheriscalled the Shimanakatte matt.

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

of the temple, ~~the Sarvai of the Matt~~ represented complained that inam S. no. 54/1, and 5 Bijavari Khandi of temple inam in Mulbagal Agraharam Sub-Khata now included in S. no. 188 which have been alienated, may be restored for the benefit of the inst^n, and that Khalsat S. no 90 which originally formed part of inam S no. 54 may be converted into inam and restored to the temple

2. As regards S. no. 54/1, it is reported that the Matt originally appointed one Venkata Subba Bhatta as Archak of the temple and left this land with him for defraying the expenses of Services, that ~~XXXXX~~ he left the place for no

in 1880-1
where he is residing since ~~1880-1~~,
The Matt ~~[illegible]~~ newly elected left the
Cans in his possession on ~~Conducting~~
Condition of his Conducting
the services viz Vasantotsava
and Deepotsava in the temple
and of his paying the Matha
an annual migate of
Rs 12, and that Venkata Subba
Bhatta not only stopped
payment of the migate
but reserved for himself
4 guntas of land out of the
said field, and transferred
the remaining portion
to the pote Kada Bhatta
by means of a regd deed
on 29th May 1912. The Dy.
Com^r states that the transaction
amounts to an alienation
under ch. Com^rs. Notification
No. 266 Dy 14-11-1879.
and recommends that
the entire S. No. may be

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

under the said Notification.

Resumed and handed back to the Manager of the Temple-Viz the Bhimanakatte land.

Under the circumstances reported, the Dy. Com's recommendation is √ :- The entire field may be resumed and handed over to the ~~Bhimanakatte~~ [illegible] on behalf of the Temple.

3. Regarding No 5 Kandi Bijamanigam land in Mullagal Agrahar, it is reported that S.no. 188 measuring 9-36 a g is in the enjoyment of Kulavalli Srinivasa Bhatta who states that he obtained one-half of the land during the partition of his ancestral property, and the other half by purchase from his uncle Venkatasubba Bhatta, that the [illegible]

WD 2230—GPL—1,00,000—23 7 20

inam settlement records and the inam register show that only 7 1/2 Kandi Bijavani land has been shown in the name of the temple and the remaining 5 Kandi Bijavani land in the name of the Vaishnavadas Venkatasubba Bhatta, and that as the land belonging to the temple could not be distinguished, the same was included in the Brahmadaya land during the last settlement and is enjoyed by Brahmadaya inamdars. I therefore recommend that the Devadaya land may be separated, resumed and handed over to the Temple.

I next observe that

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

as reported by the Dy. Conr., the 5 a Bijavani Kiady land does not belong to the Temple. The other 7 1/2 Kandies merged with this in one and the same Survey S. no. belongs (as evidenced by the Inam Settlement) to the Temple, and may be resumed and handed over to the Mutt on behalf of the Temple, as proposed.

4. As regards S. no. 70, it is reported that the Put Pahani accounts show that this land and S. no. 57 of the village bore Maji nos. 19 and 20, the Khata standing in the name of Ganesha Bhatta Sri Umamahesh-wara Dewaru Vargdar that out of the entire -i.e

WD 2230 -GPB- 1 00 000—23 7 20

an extent of 8 Kandaga
and one Kolaga Bijavari
was shown in the name
of the Temple and the
remaining portion as
Sircar, that this fact is
borne out by the Khotwar
accounts prepared after
the Survey Settlement
in 1881 which show that
the lands were numbered
as 57/1 and 57/2, the
former being inam to
the Temple, and the
latter, as Sirkar in the
Khata of Ganesha
Bhatta, that S. no. 57/2
was treated as S. no. 70
which was transferred
to the name of Venkata-
Subba Bhatta from that
of his brother Ganesha
Bhatta - the original
Khatedar in 1886 - by

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Raji Kabuli. The Dy. Commr considers that as the land has been shown as Sirkar before the survey settlement, and as the same has since been continued as such, it cannot be considered that the Khata of S. no. 70 has been wrongly registered in the name of the Brahmin Venkatesa Subba

under these circumstances, ~~reported,~~ Govt ~~are pleased to~~ accept the Dy. Commr's finding and direct that as this is now a Devadaya inam land, there is no reason to interfere at present

WD 2310—GPL—1 00 000 -21 7 20

54

5. Regarding the allegation that the temple income is not being satisfactorily accounted for by the Matt, the Dy. Com^r reports that under Sec. 23 of the Mysore Regn, the Matt has been maintaining regular accounts of income and expenditure. ~~for a direct that~~ No further action is necessary in this ~~matter~~ respect

may be pended. Yes Sir. 7.5.22. Unnecessary Sir

Dy Secretary Mys. Govt (in charge)

To The Dy. Com^r, Shimoga Dist^t, relg. the record, Case for Dr. G. The main Suppt in Mysore.

Rec'd 5.5.22